

Using Artificial Intelligence Well:

*Thinking **Critically** About Technology **Predictions** In Periods of **Uncertainty***

Harry Surden

Professor of Law, University of Colorado Law School
Faculty Director, Silicon Flatirons Artificial Intelligence Initiative
Associate Director, Stanford CodeX Center

Outline

- Anxiety about AI, Job Predictions, and Uncertainty
- Why detailed predictions about technology and labor tend to be **unreliable**
 - Our intuitive predictions about technology and labor are often too simple
 - The underlying reality is too complex to predict in detail
- Frameworks from Labor Economics
 - Some high level trends are roughly predictable
 - But **details** about *how* and *when* AI will affect labor and society **are not reliable**
 - For example: What jobs? How much impact? Positive or negative impact? On What Time Scale?
- Application to AI and the Legal Profession

Confident Predictions about AI

- Every week confident headlines and predictions about AI replacing jobs

Column / Behind the Curtain

Behind the Curtain: A white-collar bloodbath

Dario Amodei — CEO of Anthropic, one of the world's most powerful creators of [artificial intelligence](#) — has a blunt, scary warning for the U.S. government and all of us:

- AI could wipe out *half* of all entry-level white-collar jobs — and spike unemployment to 10-20% in the next one to five years, Amodei told us in an interview from his San Francisco office.

delete

delete

delete

delet

Anxiety about AI and Jobs

- Every week confident headlines and predictions about AI replacing jobs

SUCCESS · THE FUTURE OF WORK

100 million jobs could be wiped out from the U.S. alone thanks to AI, warns Senator Bernie Sanders

BY PRESTON FORE
SUCCESS REPORTER

October 7 2025 at 11:13 AM EDT



A photograph of Senator Bernie Sanders, an older man with white hair and glasses, wearing a dark blue suit and tie. He is seated at a wooden desk, gesturing with his hands as if speaking or listening intently. The background is slightly blurred, showing other people in a hearing room setting.

How Does a Researcher Approach This

- How should we approach such predictions?
 - Should we take them at face value as likely outcomes?
 - Research shows us that we should be **skeptical**
 - No matter **how confidently they are stated**
 - Or **who is making them**
 - Politicians, business leaders, technologists, Nobel prize winners
- Why?
 - Because detailed predictions about technology tend to be **very unreliable**
- On the one hand, we are not promising you certainty about the future
 - On the other hand, we are giving you a hopeful takeaway
 - A toolset for evaluating predictions critically and skeptically
 - Which can reduce anxiety caused by extreme, but confident claims about AI and jobs

Looking at historical evidence

- **As researchers**

- The best we can do is look back at past predictions
 - And compare them to what actually happened
- Assess how reliable they were and what went right or wrong
- And assess whether the level of confidence was warranted
 - Or if the confidence of the predictions did not match the uncertainty

Looking at historical evidence

- **What the research shows**

- Technology does change society and jobs at a broad level over time
- Detailed predictions about the impact of technology on labor force tend to be wrong
 - Predictions about: what specific occupations will decline or improve, when it will happen, etc;
- Due to the **inherent complexities and unpredictability of**
 - Emerging technology and the labor markets
- Rather, such predictions represent one of many possible outcomes
 - Some positive, some neutral, some negative
- Moreover, even seemingly intuitive and obvious predictions are often wrong
 - In some cases, the exact *opposite* of our intuitions turns out to be true

Sources: Bessen (2016), Autor (2015), Arntz, Gregory, and Zierahn (2021), Gimbel (2025)

Case Study: Radiologists and AI

- **Geoffrey Hinton**

- Father of Modern Artificial Intelligence
- Won the Nobel Prize

- **In 2016, Hinton suggested that Radiologists would be replaced by AI**

”People should stop training radiologists now, because within 5 years deep learning will do better.” - **Hinton (2016)**

Hinton’s reasoning:

Radiologists examine medical imaging,

AI will be better than humans at examining medical imaging

Therefore: AI will **replace** radiologists

- **But, In 2025, the opposite employment result has happened**

True, AI is better than radiologists at spotting many conditions

- But, demand for Radiologists has *increased*
 - Pay is increasing, and there are actually shortages of radiologists

What went wrong? Task/Job Confusion

- **Problem 1: Confusing a *single job's task* for the *entire job***
 - Economists think of jobs not as a single unit, but of a bundle of individual tasks
 - Acemoglu and Autor (2011)
 - Radiologist (some tasks)
 - Patient Interaction & Diagnosis – reviewing histories, selecting imaging tests, explaining results, and forming diagnostic impressions, etc.
 - Image Interpretation – detecting, characterizing, and comparing findings on scans, etc.
 - Reporting & Communication – drafting reports, flagging urgent results, and consulting with physicians or patients, etc..
- Predictions often have an overly simple mental model of a job as a few obvious tasks
 - "Bank tellers will be replaced by ATMs, because their job is to hand out cash."
 - The reality is that bank tellers' employment **increased** after ATMs
 - In part, because bank tellers do many other valuable tasks than the ones that happen to come to mind
- Similar problem with simple predictive mental model of radiologists
 - Radiologists are more than simple image readers; they diagnose and help patients through illness

Substitutes and Complements

Business

Former Google CEO predicts AI will replace most programmers in a year

Apr 22, 2025 at 03:44 PM CDT

[Kalé Carey](#) (Anchor)



- And increasing their productivity
- In many skilled labor areas, technology like AI can *complement* not substitute increasing
 - Productivity and demand
- Unclear until we gather more demand

Timing and Diffusion

- **Problem 3: The Timing of Technology is Hard to Predict**
 - Just because a technology like AI is starting to emerge and be used
 - Does not necessarily mean that significant labor or job impact is imminent
 - Technologies often take decades to have substantial labor market effects
 - Sometimes negative, sometimes positive, sometimes neutral
 - Electronic legal search Westlaw/Lexis was invented in the 1970's
 - Was not commonplace until around 2000, 30 years later
 - It often takes years or decades to affect overall supply/ demand or labor workflows

There are many other economic factors

- **Problem 4: Many economic factors besides technology affect jobs**
 - Technology like AI is just one component affecting jobs, tasks, and the labor force
 - Many other factors such as
 - Global supply and demand
 - Business cycles and trade
 - Changing tastes, preferences, and needs
 - Changing demographics
 - Politics and laws
 - Can influence the relative supply or demand for a job
 - The future emergence and direction of technologies like AI is hard to predict
 - Additionally, economic factors interact and are themselves hard to predict
 - The combined unpredictability makes confident predictions ***extremely unreliable***

Economic Applied to Law: Uncertainty

- **The future of AI's impact on law is just too complex to predict with any accuracy**
- **It could**
 - *It could complement some tasks leading to increased productivity and demand*
 - *It could substitute for others*
 - *Create new jobs and tasks in law that did not previously exist*
 - *It could create or reduce demand in areas adjacent to AI*
 - *The full impact could take a few decades or just a few years*
 - *AI itself is likely to change over time*

Conclusion

- **High level, general trends no more than 3–5 years out are reasonable**
 - E.g. AI or computing will likely continue to improve year over year, and be broadly diffused
 - Some jobs will change, and some workers will likely be impacted negatively and positively
- But, **detailed** predictions about what those trends will mean for jobs or society
 - ***Are highly unreliable and should be viewed with skepticism***
 - **The reason is that:**
 - The mental models used to make these predictions are often overly simplistic
 - And may ignore complex positive and negative labor market dynamics
 - More importantly,
 - The underlying reality about emerging technology and economics is just *too complex*
- Rather, we should see such predictions as one possible
 - Of many possible future trajectories
 - And realize that details about the future are simply too hard to get right
- Best approach is to gather evidence as to changes as they arise
 - And plan for a range of possibilities, some positive, some negative, flexibly and humbly